NEKTAR

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

150 INDUSTRIAL ROAD SAN CARLOS, CA 94070-6256 650-631-3100 • 650-631-3125 FAX

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET TQ: EXAMINER: Leah H. SCHLIENTZ FROM: MICHAEL J. MAZZA COMPANY: UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE PHONE NUMBER: 650-631-3271 **GROUP ART UNIT: 1618** FAX NUMBER: 1-571-273-8300 650-620-6395 FAX NUMBER: PHONE NUMBER: DATE: April 19, 2007 RE: U.S PATENT APPLICATION NO. 10/750,934; FILED 12/31/2003 FIRST INVENTOR: THOMAS E. TARARA ENCLOSED: TRANSMITTAL FORM PTO/SB/21 (1 PAGE) REPLY TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT (3 PAGES) TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER: ☑ FOR REVIEW ☑ PLEASE COMMENT ☐ PLEASE REPLY ☐ PLEASE RECYCLE URGENT NOTES/COMMENTS:

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY

This transmission is intended only for the use of the Addressee and may contain information that is:

1. Subject to attorney/client privilege; 2. Attorney work product; or 3. Confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of the information contained in this facsimile is strictly unauthorized and prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us immediately by collect phone to the sender named above.

PTO/SB/21 (09-08) Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0861-0031

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no per	sons are required to respond to a co	llection of information	r nujess j	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (displays a valid OMB control number.
(Application Number	10/750,934		
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	December 31, 2003 RECEIVED		
FORM	First Named Inventor	Thomas E. T.	ARAR	A CENTRAL FAX CENTER
	Art Unit	1618		ADD 4 0 0005
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filling)	Examiner Name	Leah H. SCH	LIEN'	TZ APR 1 9 2007
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 5	Attorney Docket Number	0101.00	-	
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)				
Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s)			Allowance Communication to TC
Fee Attached	Licensing-related Papers			peals and Interferences
Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1,52 or 1.53	Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocatio Change of Correspondence A Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CD narks	Address X Facsi	(Appe Propr Status Other below	al Communication to TC al Notice, Briof, Reply Brief) letary Information s Letter Enclosure(s) (please Identify): 'ransmittal
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT				
NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS				
Signature Mulal of Mayon				
Printed name Michael J. Mazza				
Date 4/19/07	F	Reg. No. 30,77	5	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING				
I hereby cartify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:				
Signature Andrews Andr				
Typed or printed name Anna Tran			Date	04/19/07

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that the following papers are being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office

at (571)273-8300 on 04/19/07

Signed: Character Co

Anna Tran

Docket No. 0101.00 RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 1 9 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:

Thomas Tarara et al.

Examiner:

Leah H. Schlientz

Serial No.:

10/750.934

Art Unit:

1618

Filed:

Dec 31, 2003

Title:

PHARMACEUTICAL FORMULATION WITH AN

INSOLUBLE ACTIVE AGENT

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

This Reply to Restriction Requirement is submitted in response to the Office communication mailed on March 21, 2007, which required restriction of the claims in the above-identified application.

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

A. The Restriction Requirement:

The Examiner has required restriction to one of the following inventions under 35 U.S.C.§121:

- Claims 1-22 and 38-83 as being drawn to a formulation, classified in 514/31; and
- II. Claims 23-37 and 84-102 as being drawn to a method of making, classified in 424/490

Serial No. 10/750,934 Docket No. 0101.00

B. Response to the Restriction Requirement:

In response, Applicants hereby elect Group I, comprising claims 1-22 and 38-83, with traverse.

Traverse is premised on the ground that a combined search of the two Groups does not impose an undue burden on the Examiner. As stated in the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure ("MPEP"),

[i]f the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions.

M.P.E.P. Section 803

In view of the relationship of the claims of Group I and Group II as product and method of making, a search of potential art in this classification is simultaneously useful for each of these two Groups. In view of the above, it is therefore believed that search and examination of the entire application can be made without serious burden to the Examiner. Consequently, reconsideration and removal of the requirement for restriction are respectfully requested.

In addition, with respect to the requirements to elect specific species, Applicant emphasizes that the independent claim appears to be easily searched to thereby narrow the amount of relevant prior art the Examiner must consider. In this way, the Examiner should be able to identify any relevant prior art for the entire scope of the elected Group and not simply for the elected species.

Finally, Applicant emphasizes that election of the species is for initial search purposes only and that Applicants will be entitled to consideration of additional species upon the allowance of a generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. §1.41.

With regard to the election of species, applicant elects, with traverse and solely for the purposes of searching: (1) with regard to a hydrophobic material, applicant provisionally elects a lipid, and further elects a phospholipid.